Feasibility of urban waste for constructing Technosols for plant growth

Blanca Prado¹,²*, Lucy Mora¹,², Thalita Abbruzzini¹, Sebastian Flores³, Silke Cram⁴, Pilar Ortega⁵, Armando Navarrete⁵, and Christina Siebe¹,²

¹ Instituto de Geología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Av. Universidad 3000, Coyoacán, C.P. 04510, Mexico City, Mexico.
² Laboratorio Nacional de Geoquímica y Mineralogía (LANGEM), Instituto de Geología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Av. Universidad 3000, Coyoacán, C.P. 04510, Mexico City, Mexico.
³ Instituto de Geografía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Av. Universidad 3000, Coyoacán, C.P. 04510, Mexico City, Mexico.
⁴ Instituto de Geografía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Av. Universidad 3000, Coyoacán, C.P. 04510, Mexico City, Mexico.
⁵ Instituto de Investigaciones en Ecosistemas y Sustentabilidad, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Antigua Carretera a Pátzcuaro 8701, Col. Ex Hacienda de San José de la Huerta, C.P. 58190, Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico.
* bprado@geologia.unam.mx

ABSTRACT

An alternative for sustainable urban development is to revegetate cities with the construction of planters as well as to recover degraded sites. The objective of this work was to characterize urban waste materials produced in Mexico City and to evaluate their potential for constructing Technosols for plant growth, as an alternative to use in revegetating the city without affecting natural landscapes. Construction and demolition waste materials amended with different application rates of compost made out of gardening wastes from Mexico City green areas were tested. Nine mixtures were prepared; three based on concrete, three based on demolition waste and three based on excavation waste. Changes on physical, chemical and physicochemical properties of these mixtures, namely nutrient contents, water retention and aeration capacity, were monitored in a twelve-month experiment. The mineralogy and the risk regarding the release of heavy metals and trace elements were also evaluated in the soluble fraction. The constructed Technosols were appropriate, to a greater or lesser extent, for tomato plant growth. Soil pH and soil electrical conductivity (EC) were the main factors defining their suitability; both parameters changed over time due to the washing of salts. The particle size of the mineral materials as well as the application rates of compost used in the construction of the Technosols resulted in adequate water holding capacity and soil aeration for plant growth. The type of parental materials defined the majority of the Technosol characteristics as well as their ability to function as a plant support. The concentrations of readily available heavy and trace metals were not a limitation for plant growth. However, potential co-transport of these elements with soluble organic matter should be considered in further research.
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RESUMEN

Una alternativa para el desarrollo urbano sostenible es revegetar ciudades con la implementación de jardines, así como recuperar sitios degradados. El objetivo de este trabajo fue caracterizar los materiales de desecho urbano producidos en la Ciudad de México y evaluar su potencial para construir Tecnosoles para el crecimiento de las plantas, como una alternativa para revegetar la ciudad sin afectar los paisajes naturales. Se analizaron materiales de desecho de la construcción y demolición enmendados con diferentes tasas de aplicación de compost hecho con desechos de jardinería de las áreas verdes de la Ciudad de México. Se prepararon nueve mezclas; tres basadas en concreto, tres basadas en desechos de demolición y tres basadas en desechos de excavación. Los cambios en las propiedades físicas, químicas y fisicoquímicas de estas mezclas, a saber, el contenido de nutrientes, la retención de agua y la capacidad de aireación, se monitorearon en un experimento de doce meses. La mineralogía y el riesgo con respecto a la liberación de metales pesados y oligoelementos también se evaluaron en la fracción soluble. Los Tecnosoles construidos fueron apropiados, en mayor o menor medida, para el crecimiento de la planta de tomate. El pH del suelo y la conductividad eléctrica (CE) fueron los principales factores que definieron su idoneidad; ambos parámetros cambiaron con el tiempo debido al lavado de sales. El tamaño de partícula de los materiales minerales, así como las tasas de aplicación de compost utilizadas en la construcción de los Tecnosoles, resultaron en mezclas con una capacidad de retención de agua y aireación del suelo, adecuados para el crecimiento de las plantas. El tipo de materiales parentales definió la mayoría de las características de los Tecnosoles, así como su capacidad para funcionar como soporte de plantas. Las concentraciones de metales pesados fácilmente disponibles no fueron una limitación para el crecimiento de las plantas. Sin embargo, el posible co-transporte de estos elementos con materia orgánica soluble debe considerarse en futuras investigaciones.
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INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, about 60 % of the world’s population lives in urban areas and it is estimated that, by 2050, it will reach 80 % (UN, 2018). It is also estimated that, by then, the world population will exceed 9 billion people (UN, 2019). The expected increase in world’s population and consequent rapid urbanization will exert pressure on soil resources by causing soil degradation and pollution, as well as the reduction of green space and other recreational areas, besides threatening recreational space and social living (De Kimpe and Morel, 2000; Morel et al., 2015).

An alternative for sustainable urban development is to revegetate cities with the construction of planters and green roofs, as well as to recover contaminated and/or degraded sites. However, this practice demands a large amount of soil or substrates that are frequently imported from pristine periurban areas (Séré et al., 2008; Rokia et al., 2014), thereby deteriorating natural systems that represent buffer zones or protected natural areas. Therefore, it is important to have proposals that meet the demand of substrates for revegetation without affecting natural landscapes.

Another major consequence of urbanization is the generation of organic and inorganic solid wastes. Among these wastes are those of domestic and industrial origin, as well as those generated by the construction industry; the latter constitutes different kinds of construction debris and excavation materials with diverse compositions. In this sense, in Mexico City, 2.38 thousands of tons of the excavation waste (clays and granular sediments, volcanic tuff) are produced per year, while concrete (poles, sand, mortar, asphalt) and debris (fragments of block, paving stone, bricks, concrete pipes, and stones) are produced in about 1.5 thousands of tons per year (CMIC, 2018). In Mexico City, an average of 6.5 tons day of these wastes is produced (PGIRS 2016-2020). Of this total, it is estimated that 41 % are organic waste; the remaining is mostly represented by waste derived from the construction industry. Construction waste includes materials such as concrete, bricks, roof tiles and ceramic wares, wood, glass, plastic materials, asphaltic blends, coal tar and tarred products, excavated soil, insulating materials, gypsum, etc. (Jacobobea et al., 2019).

Another kind of construction waste is excavation material, which consists of soils and sediments which need to be retrieved in order to install building foundations, cellars, belowground parking lots, etc. Also, urban infrastructure such as tunnels and deep drainage systems generate large quantities of excavation materials. Excavation materials mostly consist of uncontaminated sediments with a relatively large proportion of fine particles (<0.2 mm). Cities are frequently settled in fluvial, lacustrine or coastal plains, i.e., in relatively flat surfaces close to water bodies. The sediments in these sites often contain small to medium amounts of organic matter due to their fluvial, lacustrine or marine origin. Due to these properties, excavation materials are potential candidates for urban soil construction, but have been very little appreciated and used (Magnusson et al., 2015).

The worldwide production of construction and demolition (C&D) waste has increased considerably during the last decades. Nevertheless, only small fractions are recycled (Galvez-Martos et al., 2018), which has caused environmental problems as a result of uncontrolled dumping of these materials in landfills and illegal waste disposal sites (Prieto Garcia et al., 2012). Some countries have generated regulations regarding the disposal of these materials (Table 1), but in most cities, construction wastes are dumped in periurban areas, thereby deteriorating natural soils.

In this regard, constructed Technosols are a viable solution for urban waste management. Although urban soils very often lack biological, chemical and physical fertility to support plant growth (Morel et al., 2005), some researchers have focused on designed assemblages of technogenic materials (e.g., C&D waste mixed with composted organic urban waste) to produce Technosols, since they could be used to improve urban ecosystem services by mimicking functions of natural soils (Morel et al., 2015, Pruvost, 2018) and to reduce the impact of cities on the environment (Deeb et al., 2016). They are expected to provide provisioning services ranging from non-food biomass used for the production of energy and fiber to food biomass production (e.g., roof gardening); regulating services such as improved water runoff and quality, maintenance of biodiversity, mitigation of pollution and improved air quality (e.g., capture of greenhouse gases and particulate

Table 1. Generation of Urban Solid Wastes in different countries and their main cities: the proportion of construction and excavation waste and progress in their management.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country (City)</th>
<th>Municipal solid wastes (Thousands of tons per year)</th>
<th>Demolition and excavation waste (% of total solid waste)</th>
<th>Comments on local regulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States of America (New York)</td>
<td>569000 (32000)</td>
<td>25–45 % (10)</td>
<td>In the US, the EPA regulates the management of urban solid waste, since 2012. There are different management techniques, many of them led by private recycling companies (11).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China (Beijing)</td>
<td>220000 (4000)</td>
<td>50 % (5, 3)</td>
<td>There are laws regulating the management of urban solid waste, since 2003. Out of the total, only the 16% is recycled (2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil (Sao Paulo)</td>
<td>80000 (6000)</td>
<td>10.7 % (4, 5)</td>
<td>There are laws regulating the management of urban solid waste, since 2010. Out of the total, only the 25% is recycled (2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia (Moscow)</td>
<td>60000 to 70000 (2400)</td>
<td>5–10 % (9, 7, 6)</td>
<td>Laws regulating the management of urban solid waste started in 2012. Only the 10% of the total is recycled (9, 4).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico (Mexico City)</td>
<td>53000 to 103000 (4700)</td>
<td>46.95 % (9, 10)</td>
<td>The regulation for managing the urban solid wastes started in 2011, out of the total, only 3% is recycled (11).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>51000</td>
<td>55 to 63 % (11, 12)</td>
<td>The Federal Environment Ministry regulates the management of the urban wastes since 1996. Out of the total, 70% is recycled.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

matter by plant foliage), local climate (e.g., control of urban temperature, local thermal insulation), carbon (C) storage, and conservation of the natural soil capital (i.e., by the use of secondary materials for soil construction) (Morel et al., 2015; Deeb et al., 2016; Grard et al., 2018; Deeb et al., 2016).

Nevertheless, previous studies have shown that each material used to design constructed Technosols should be carefully considered due to the high chemical reactivity of many anthropogenic materials, which determines several soil chemical, physical and physicochemical properties. Among these, particle size distribution is a determinant of soil water retention (particle sizes <0.02 mm), but also of water infiltration and soil drainage capacity (particle sizes >0.02 mm). Compaction is a known problem in constructed Technosols, which reduces the total pore volume, water and air circulation (Gregory et al., 2006; Cannavo et al., 2014; Vidal-Beaudet et al., 2017). The contents of carbonates, particle size distribution (texture) and organic C influence the soil’s buffer capacity and the potential of nutrient provision to plants. The excess of soluble salts inhibits microbial activities and plant growth, and high concentrations of heavy metal contents endanger soil functioning (Paradelo and Barral, 2013; Rokia et al., 2014; Greinert and Kostecki, 2019).

Another difficulty is to establish the adequate proportion of different waste materials so that the resulting Technosol achieves the targeted urban soil functions. Thus, the objective of this work was to characterize different urban waste materials produced in Mexico City and to test different mixtures of these in order to evaluate their potential to support plant growth. We tested not only construction debris, but also excavation materials, which were amended with different doses of compost made out of garden wastes from green city areas. Our hypothesis is that excavation materials are especially valuable substrates for Technosol construction, since they contain fine particles and organic matter, which provide nutrients like K, Ca and Mg and thus higher cation exchange capacity. However, coarser materials as C&D debris need to be added to ensure quick drainage and avoid compaction, as well as organic materials to supply C and N. C&D debris can be an interesting option for the construction of Technosols because they can be crushed to any particle size to achieve a certain moisture retention capacity and soil infiltration capacity, as well as for its content of essential nutrients for the plant growth, such as Ca, Mg, K, Fe, Cu and Zn.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Feedstocks for the construction of Technosols**

Technosols were manufactured with construction wastes, namely concrete, demolition and excavation waste. Concrete waste is a mix of clinker (product of calcinations at 1450 °C of limestone and clay), plaster and additives embedded with steel or iron bars. Demolition waste materials are a mixture of construction waste materials; e.g., concrete, masonry, bricks, blocks, and ceramics. Excavation waste refers to the natural material extracted during the preparation of the land prior to the construction of a building. Many of the world’s largest cities are built in valleys or river banks; therefore, their excavation materials correspond mainly to sediments of different granulometry. The natural lacustrine deposits of Mexico City are heterogeneous; with an alluvial, volcanic and colluviums origin, the particle size distribution of the excavation materials changes depending on the excavation depth. However, the grain size is predominantly clayey silt, with residues of organic matter (Díaz-Rodriguez, 2006).

The three kinds of waste materials were supplied by the company Concretos Reciclados S.A. de C.V., which is based in Mexico City, and it is dedicated to recycling of C&D materials. Likewise, materials that favor the availability of nutrients at different time scales were considered and, therefore, “Tepetate” was added to all mixtures, since this is an inorganic waste material of natural origin that, given its volcanic origin, brings together chemical and physical properties favorable for the development of plants (Prat et al., 1997). Tepetate is the indurated subsoil horizon developed in tephouphous materials in various parts of the Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt. Tepetate’s compaction and/or cementation, which occur due to different processes, result in its high bulk density (1.7–1.9 g·cm⁻³) and low porosity (<24 %), low hydraulic conductivity, and low moisture retention that characterized this material. Regarding the chemical characteristics, Tepetate has low contents of organic matter, nitrogen, and phosphorus (Flores Román et al. 1991; 2011) and constitutes an excavation waste during drilling in the construction industry. In fact, it is considered a construction waste by the Mexican Chamber of the Construction Industry (CMIC, 2018). Organic carbon and nitrogen were added to Technosols through the application of green waste compost. The compost was produced from aerobic composting of grass mowing residues mixed with tree and shrub trimmings from green areas within the city. The compost produced complies with the characteristics indicated in the official Mexican Standard (NADF-020-AMBT-2011) for vivarium substrate and potting soil substitute.

**Characterization of feedstocks and design of Technosols**

Each individual material (feedstock) was physically and chemically characterized. The proportions used of the different feedstocks were calculated to obtain Technosols with favorable properties to fulfill their function as plant support media, such as adequate water holding capacity and aeration, pH, electrical conductivity (EC) and availability of nutrients. On a macroscopic scale, the sizes of inorganic particles obtained by crushing to fragments from 1 mm to 10 mm, and the proportion of these materials in Technosols was based on a greater or lesser aeration/moisture retention capacity.

Nine mixtures were prepared, three based on concrete, three based on demolition waste, and another three based on waste from excavations (Figure 1). Three application rates of either construction waste and compost were used in order to evaluate the changes on physical, chemical and physicochemical properties in the mixtures, namely the nutrient contents, the water retention and aeration capacity, which varied by 55, 45 and 35 % and by 10, 20 and 30 % (v/v, respectively.

![Figure 1. Proportions of inorganic and organic feedstocks used in the construction of nine Technosols.](http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/cgeo.20072902e.2020.3.1583)
The proportion of Tepetate was constant in all mixtures (35%, v/v). For the preparation of each mixture, the volume of feedstocks were measured and homogenized. Then, they were placed in 50 × 30 × 10 cm (length × width × height) pots with three replicates per treatment (n = 3). Prior to the experiments, a subsample of 500 g of each final mixture was taken for its characterization.

**Physical characterization of feedstocks and Technosols**

The determination of particle density of solids (mean particle density) \( \rho_s \) was carried out on both individual materials and Technosols using the pycnometer method (Blake, 1965). For the bulk density \( \rho_o \) (g cm\(^{-3}\)), undisturbed samples (5 cm in diameter, 5 cm in height) were taken, the parameter was calculated from the mass of the dry soil at 105 °C for 48 h and the cylinder volume (Blake, 1965). The porosity (\( \phi \)) of these was obtained by the following equation:

\[
\phi = 1 - \frac{\rho_o}{\rho_s} \tag{1}
\]

The relative proportions of sand, silt, and clay size particles in the individual materials were determined by the Bouyoucos hydrometer method (Gee and Bauder, 1986). The maximum water holding capacity in the individual materials was determined from 30 g of the dry material was saturated an allowed to drain for 24 hours (Schinner et al., 1993), while in the Technosols this was evaluated gravimetrically in containers under experimental conditions. The moisture content was monitored daily for a period of 60 days using a time-domain reflectometry (TDR) probe (HH2 Delta Devices) as well as gravimetrically by recording the weight difference of the experimental units from one day to the next. The soil matrix potential was monitored by using gypsum blocks connected to a data logger (Irrometer Water Mark 900M). The experimental data were adjusted to the van Genuchten (1980) water retention model, using the SWRC Fit program (Seki, 2007). The drainage capacity of the Technosols was directly evaluated in the experimental containers and its saturated hydraulic conductivity was determined in steel cylinders with a volume of 100 cm\(^3\), calculated as follows:

\[
K_s = \left( \frac{Q}{A \cdot I} \right) \times \left( \frac{l}{H} \right) \tag{2}
\]

where: \( K_s \) represents the saturated hydraulic conductivity (cm h\(^{-1}\)); \( Q \) is the volume collected by the cylinder (cm\(^3\)); \( A \) is the area of the metallic cylinder (cm\(^2\)); \( l \) is the elapsed time, in hours, until the \( Q \) value is obtained; \( l \) is the height of the metal cylinder (cm) and; \( H \) is the height of the cylinder to the drainage tube (cm).

**Chemical characterization of feedstocks and Technosols**

The chemical characterization of the feedstocks and Technosols was carried out by standard methods for soil analyses, van Reeuwijk (1992). The pH and EC were measured by the potentiometric method in a 1:2.5 ratio (soil:solution) using a potentiometer (Beckman) and a conductimeter (LaMotte, CON 6), respectively. The determinations of total carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) contents were carried out by the total combustion method on previously fine grounded and dried (60 °C) materials for 24 h, using a CNHS/O elemental analyzer (Perkin Elmer 2400 series II).

The elemental composition of the soluble fraction was carried out in the supernatant of a saturation paste, made with 300 g of dry material saturated with distilled water. After 24 hours of equilibration, the pore water was extracted using a vacuum pump. The major elements were determined by ion chromatography (Waters model 1525). For the determination and quantification of anions in the samples, a 4.6 × 75 mm (length × width) IC-Pak C\(^{+}\) column (Waters) was used as stationary phase, using as a mobile phase a solution of acetonitrile:butanol:sodium borate gluconate:water in a 12:2:2:84 ratio in isocratic mode at a flow of 1 ml min\(^{-1}\). The anions evaluated were bicarbonate (HCO\(_3\)), chloride (Cl\(^{-}\)), nitrate (NO\(_3\)) and sulfate (SO\(_4^{2-}\)). For the determination and quantification of cations in the samples, a 4 × 100 mm (length × width) Metrosep C\(_4\) column (Metrohm) was used as stationary phase, and a solution of 1.9 mM HNO\(_3\) was used as the mobile phase 0.8 mM Dipicolinic acid in Isocratic mode at a flow of 0.9 ml min\(^{-1}\). The cations evaluated were calcium (Ca\(^{2+}\)), magnesium (Mg\(^{2+}\)), potassium (K\(^{+}\)), sodium (Na\(^{+}\)) and ammonium (NH\(_4^{+}\)).

The availability of soluble compounds of inorganic and organic carbon (DIC and DOC, respectively) was evaluated in an aliquot of the saturation paste extract by the wet catalytic combustion method, in which the total carbon is brought to 700 °C in the presence of a catalyst (Pt02) and a high purity air stream. The inorganic carbon is pre-bubbled with 1 M HCl and then, in the bubbled air, the CO\(_2\) is quantified with an infrared detector. Total organic carbon is calculated as the difference between total carbon and inorganic carbon. The risk regarding the release of heavy metals and trace elements was evaluated in the soluble fraction extracted from the saturation paste using the EPA 6010C method with inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) and a Perkin Elmer 8300 analyzer. For this analysis, the evaluated metals were aluminum (Al), iron (Fe), copper (Cu), molybdenum (Mo), manganese (Mn), vanadium (V), nickel (Ni), and chromium (Cr).

**Mineralogy**

The mineral phase saturation index was calculated using the Visual MinteQ 2013 software. The input data of the model were the results of the analysis of major elements by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), which was measured in the supernatant of the saturation paste that was also used to estimate the electrical conductivity and concentrations of major ions.

**Evaluation of Technosols as plant growth media**

To evaluate plant biomass production in Technosols, tomato plants were cultivated in 1 kg pots for each Technosol using triplicates (n=3). Commercial seeds of cherry tomato (Lycopersicon lycopersicum var. cerasiforme) were used in the experiment. The seeds were germinated in small containers in the same substrate in which they grew. Once the seedling was of adequate size (around 7 cm), they were transplanted into the experimental containers. The plants were grown under greenhouse conditions at a controlled temperature of 25 °C for a period of 90 days. The growth of tomato plants in each treatment was evaluated periodically by measuring the plant height and, at the end of the experimental period, the dry weight of aboveground biomass (shoots) was also evaluated. For dry weight determination, plant shoots were separated and dried at 50 °C to constant weight.

**Statistical analyses**

The differences in physical, chemical and physicochemical properties among the distinct Technosols were evaluated by an ANOVA according to the experimental design (completely randomized design, CRD) with two levels, i.e. 1) the type of parent material (comparisons between the three groups of Technosols – concrete, demolition and excavation; and 2) the compost application rates, regardless of the parent material – namely comparisons among Technosols with 10 (O\(_{10}\)), 20 (O\(_{20}\)) and 30 % (O\(_{30}\)) of compost. Moreover, the interactions between physical, chemical and physicochemical variables of Technosols were explored by a Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Additionally, generalized linear models (GLM) were applied to assess the effect of the properties of Technosols on the height and dry weight of tomato plants. All analyzes were run using the software R Core Team, 2020.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

General characteristics of the feedstocks

The inorganic feedstocks used in the preparation of Technosols were mostly constituted of sand- (65 ± 9) and silt- (31 ± 8) sized particles over those of clay size (4 ± 2), thus having a sandy-loam texture, while the Tepetate has loamy texture (Figure 2). Nevertheless, the homogeneity of distribution size resulted in little variation of bulk density among C&D waste, ranging from 1.3 to 1.5 g·cm⁻³. The particle density values of the inorganic materials varied between 2.2 and 2.9 g·cm⁻³, thus being similar to the values found in natural soils (around 2.65 g·cm⁻³) (Figure 3). Indeed, construction waste can also contain actual soil, as well as various building debris, etc. which may partially explain the observed result. As expected, the bulk and particle densities of compost were much lower than that of mineral materials (0.5 and 1.5 g·cm⁻³, respectively) (Figure 3).

The field capacity varied little among construction wastes (ranging from 24 to 28 %), while Tepetate and compost presented much higher field capacity values, of 48 and 53 %, respectively (Figure 3). The porosity of the inorganic feedstocks was between 50 and 55 %, while the compost had a porosity of 60 % (Figure 3). Organic matter is well known for its high total porosity, of around 0.7 v/v in the case of compost (Cannavo et al., 2014), which in turn confers low bulk and specific densities, though with a much higher water holding capacity in comparison with C&D waste.

The organic and inorganic feedstocks are predominantly alkaline (pH >7.8) and, as a consequence, have high salinity (EC between 1.0 and 2.0 dS·cm⁻¹ in inorganic materials), in particular the compost (4.3 dS·cm⁻¹). The analysis of soluble or readily available major elements shows the abundance of anionic and cationic ions in the feedstocks (Figure 4). The compost has a greater availability of NO₃⁻ compared to the other feedstocks. Likewise, Tepetate has a higher concentration of available HCO₃⁻ compared to the C&D waste, which in turn presented higher concentrations of SO₄²⁻. Finally, the excavation residues seem to present lower available anionic ions in comparison to the other technogenic materials. Other available ions essential for plant growth are calcium (Ca²⁺) and potassium (K⁺). The compost, in particular, stands out for its high K⁺ available content. In addition, all inorganic materials appear to have a Ca²⁺ availability comparable to that of the compost, except for the excavation waste, which also present lower contents of available sodium (Figure 4).

The content of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) is higher in the excavation waste, compared to the other feedstocks (Figure 4). The content of DOC is higher in demolition waste, which also presented lower available anions (Figure 4). The compost, in particular, stands out for its high K⁺ available content. In addition, all inorganic materials appear to have a Ca²⁺ availability comparable to that of the compost, except for the excavation waste, which also present lower contents of available sodium (Figure 4).

The content of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) is higher in the excavation waste, compared to the other feedstocks (Figure 4). The content of DOC is higher in demolition waste, which also presented lower available anions (Figure 4). The compost, in particular, stands out for its high K⁺ available content. In addition, all inorganic materials appear to have a Ca²⁺ availability comparable to that of the compost, except for the excavation waste, which also present lower contents of available sodium (Figure 4).

General characteristics of the Technosols

The bulk density of Technosols varied between 1.2 to 1.4 g·cm⁻³, being slightly higher than that of natural soils. Usually, Technosols may exhibit higher bulk density and, thus, higher compactability, compared to natural soils (Paradelo and Barral, 2013; Morel et al., 2015). However, the addition of Tepetate probably contributed to lower the bulk density of the produced Technosols. Moreover, the higher the bulk density of a soil, the more effective organic matter will be in reducing its compactability due to its higher degree of elasticity under compression forces compared to mineral particles (Zhang et al., 1997). Indeed, the bulk density of Technosols was inversely proportional to the rate of compost added (p <0.05) (Table 2). The particle density of Technosols varied between 2.1 and 2.2 g·cm⁻³, which is relatively low compared to the values commonly found in natural soils (2.65 g·cm⁻³). However, there were no significant differences between concrete-, demolition- and excavation-based Technosols regarding this property (p >0.05) (Table 2).

Water holding capacity of Technosols

Notwithstanding the absence of relevant differences in water retention at field capacity among C&D waste, the value of this property varied among Technosols. Once the mixtures were prepared, it was observed that the water retention capacity at field capacity of Technosols
that were concrete- (C₅₅O₁₀, C₄₅O₂₀, C₃₅O₃₀) and excavation-based (E₅₅O₁₀, E₄₅O₂₀, E₃₅O₃₀) increased with the application rate of compost (from 28 to 36 %, and from 27 to 31 %, respectively), while, in the demolition-based Technosols (D₅₅O₁₀, D₄₅O₂₀, D₃₅O₃₀), the moisture retention at field capacity was similar among the three rates of compost application (of around 34 %).

The greater moisture retention capacity observed in demolition-based Technosols with a similar initial porosity value as the other Technosols, presumes a smaller pore size in these. Moreover, demolition waste contains lower sand and higher silt contents compared to the other C&D waste, and the Tepetate contains higher clay contents in comparison with the latter materials, which may favor the formation of small pores, thus leading to greater water retention and higher susceptibility to compaction (Figure 5). Paradelo and Barral (2013) observed that the values of critical water content (the moisture corresponding to the maximum density of compaction) increased as a function of increasing compost rate. This effect was greatest for the sand-sized materials, showing that the addition of organic matter would be more effective in reducing the susceptibility to compaction of coarse-textured materials in comparison with fine textured ones.

When comparing Technosols made out of C&D waste with the highest rates of compost (Figure 6c), it is observed that the concrete-based Technosol presented higher aeration; this mixture takes about two days to reach the field capacity (30 kPa), while for the other Technosols, at least five days are required, being the demolition-based Technosol the one that presented the lowest aeration capacity (Figure 6c), which reinforces our previous findings regarding the higher compactability of demolition-based Technosols. In brief, these results highlight the importance of compost application rates in improving the pore size distribution and macroporosity, as well as the particle size of the feedstocks used in the preparation of Technosols.

**Physicochemical characteristics of Technosols**

One of the aspects of great concern regarding the use of C&D waste to produce Technosols is their high pH (Rokia et al., 2014). Soil reaction is one of the most frequently noted differences between anthropogenic soils and those of natural origin (Greinert, 2015). The widespread dumping of lime containing construction waste on soil increases the pH values above 8 and often even 10 (Morel et al., 2005, 2015; Kawahigashi, 2017) reducing the availability of many soil nutrients (Séré et al., 2008), with consequences for plant development.

In all evaluated Technosols, the initial pH values were above 8 units (Figure 6). In particular, the Technosols made from demolition waste (D₅₅, D₄₅ and D₃₅) presented the highest initial pH (above 10 units) (p <0.05) (Figure 7, Table S1 in the electronic supplement). On the other hand, the Technosols based on excavation residues (E₅₅, E₄₅ and E₃₅) had the lowest initial and final (after 90 days of pot trial) pH values among Technosols (p <0.05) (Figure 7, Table S1). It is important to point out that the rates of compost did not have significant effects on both initial and final pH values (p >0.05) (Figure 7, Table S2 in the electronic supplement). However, after 90 days of experiment with plant growth, pH values in demolition-based Technosols decreased by 13 % compared to their initial values (p <0.05) and did not differ from the concrete-based Technosols (p >0.05), while the other Technosols did not show significant changes in this property over time.
Figure 5. Wilting point (WP), plant-available water (AW), field capacity (FC), and initial and final porosity of Technosols. C is concrete; D is demolition; E is excavation; O is compost.

Table 2. Bulk density (ρd), particle density (ρp), concentrations of total C and N, and C-to-N ratio of the evaluated Technosols. C is concrete; D is demolition; E is excavation; O is compost. Means (±SE) followed the same uppercase letter on the columns do not differ significantly by the Tukey test (p <0.005).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technosol</th>
<th>ρd</th>
<th>ρp</th>
<th>Total C</th>
<th>Total N</th>
<th>C/N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C35O30</td>
<td>1.33±0.20D</td>
<td>2.24±1.21A</td>
<td>18.8±0.70B</td>
<td>1.23±0.05A</td>
<td>15.2±0.30DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C45O30</td>
<td>1.22±0.20ABC</td>
<td>2.24±1.21A</td>
<td>24.0±0.70C</td>
<td>1.74±0.05B</td>
<td>13.7±0.30CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C55O30</td>
<td>1.15±0.20A</td>
<td>2.06±1.21A</td>
<td>29.7±0.70D</td>
<td>2.37±0.05CD</td>
<td>12.5±0.30BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D35O30</td>
<td>1.24±0.20BC</td>
<td>2.09±1.21A</td>
<td>26.7±0.70CD</td>
<td>1.17±0.05A</td>
<td>22.9±0.30F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D45O30</td>
<td>1.20±0.20ABC</td>
<td>2.10±1.21A</td>
<td>35.0±0.70E</td>
<td>2.13±0.05C</td>
<td>16.4±0.30E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D55O30</td>
<td>1.16±0.20AB</td>
<td>2.08±1.21A</td>
<td>35.0±0.71F</td>
<td>2.81±0.05E</td>
<td>14.9±0.30E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E35O30</td>
<td>1.35±0.20D</td>
<td>2.24±1.21A</td>
<td>35.0±0.72A</td>
<td>1.15±0.05A</td>
<td>10.1±0.30A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E45O30</td>
<td>1.24±0.20C</td>
<td>2.15±1.21A</td>
<td>35.0±0.73B</td>
<td>1.72±0.05B</td>
<td>10.8±0.30A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E55O30</td>
<td>1.15±0.20A</td>
<td>2.16±1.21A</td>
<td>35.0±0.74D</td>
<td>2.54±0.05DE</td>
<td>11.7±0.30A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Distribution of major elements and carbon fractions in Technosols

The analysis of readily available elements showed that calcium (Ca2+) is the dominating cation in saturation extracts of the waste materials. Excavation- and concrete-based Technosols have soluble magnesium (Mg2+) contents that were 22-fold higher compared to demolition-based Technosols (Figure 8). However, the latter had a concentration of soluble sodium (Na+) that was 86 % higher compared to excavation-based Technosols (Figure 8). This is reflected in the soil’s electrical conductivity because Na+ has a high mobility (Jordán et al., 2017). The proportional distribution of soluble ions shows that these are distributed according to the proportion of the materials added. The NO3−, SO4²⁻ and HCO3⁻ ions were the most abundant in all samples and, in particular, the concentrations of SO4²⁻ were higher in the concrete- (C55, C45, C35) and demolition-based Technosols (D55, D45, D35) compared to excavation-based Technosols (p <0.05).

Increasing application rates of compost have also influenced the concentrations of soluble cationic and anionic ions. In this case, the concentrations of K+, NO3− and Cl- in the Technosols with the highest dose of compost (C55O30, D35O30 and E35O30) have increased by 293, 168 and 97 %, respectively, compared to the Technosols with the lowest application rates (C45O20, D45O20 and E45O20) (p <0.05) (Figure 8, Tables S3 and S4 in the electronic supplement), regardless of the construction material.

It is important to mention that the distribution of major ions in solution represents an important contribution of nutrients for plant growth, including ions such as NO3−, SO4²⁻ and HCO3⁻, which account for >50 % of the elements in solution. This means that the evaluated materials can easily provide these ions. On the other hand, a high concentration saturation of these compounds may lead to the precipitation of secondary minerals. Therefore, the ion concentration in the saturation extracts was used to perform an evaluation on the tendency toward precipitation or dissolution of minerals (Figure S3 in the electronic supplement). The secondary minerals that can probably precipitate according to their positive saturation index are Huntite (MgCa2(CO3)2), and Calcite (CaCO3). This condition is very evident in Technosols produced from concrete waste (C45, C35 and C30). It seems that concrete waste Technosols are more enriched in Mg²⁺ than excavation waste, and therefore dissolves faster and forms secondary minerals when applied in greater proportion. Moreover, E55O30 has not presented a tendency for precipitation of new mineral species and this is probably due to the fact that this soil-like material has larger clay contents capable of retaining cations present in solution. All of these aspects are still poorly documented for Technosols, thus the study of the weathering processes of these materials must be deepened in order to address the potential availability of major plant nutrients. The total contents of carbon and nitrogen also increased as a function of compost application rate (p <0.05) and, particularly, the demolition-based Technosol amended with the highest rate of compost (D35O30) presented the highest contents of those compared to the other Technosols (p <0.05) (Table 2). The compost dose also had a significant effect on the concentrations of DOC, which increased by 206 % in the Technosols with the highest compost addition compared to the lowest one (p <0.05) (Table S5, Figure S2), independent of the construction materials, which in turn did not provide changes in this property (p >0.05) (Table S6). Indeed, large increases in soil DOC have been observed after compost additions with immediate effects attributed to dissolved organic matter of composts (Wright et al., 2008). Dissolved organic matter is
Figure 6. Variation of matric potential overtime under greenhouse conditions in a) Concrete-based Technosols ($C_{55}O_{10}$, $C_{45}O_{20}$ and $C_{35}O_{30}$); b) Demolition-based Technosols ($D_{55}O_{10}$, $D_{45}O_{20}$ and $D_{35}O_{30}$); and c) Technosols with the highest rates of compost regardless of the parent material ($C_{35}O_{30}$, $D_{35}O_{30}$ and $E_{35}O_{30}$). C is concrete; D is demolition; E is excavation; O is compost.

Figure 7. Initial and final (after 90 days of experiment) values of pH and EC (mS cm$^{-1}$) in the evaluated Technosols. C is concrete; D is demolition; E is excavation; O is compost.
highly mobile in soils, which increases the risk of leaching of metals and nutrients, as ions complexed with dissolved organic matter can readily move through soil (Kaschl et al., 2002). Moreover, increasing concentration of DOC due to organic amendments may coat the surface of soil particles and reduce their ability to retain nutrients, thereby increasing their losses by leaching (Qualls and Haines, 1992). However, if the compost composition allows for rapid decomposition in soil, DOC may quickly return to background levels (Franchini et al., 2001).

**Metal contents in Technosols**

The deposition of heavy metals in road dust samples taken from urban, residential, commercial, industrial and highway sites has been well documented (Trujillo-González et al., 2016; Wei and Yang, 2010). Considering that the origin of the waste used in Technosols is urban, the risk of contamination by trace metals in Technosols made from these materials is probably the main health and environmental concern (Säumel et al., 2012). The Technosols made from concrete and excavation wastes showed significantly higher concentrations of soluble Fe and Mn compared to Technosols made from demolition waste (p <0.05) (Figure 9, Table S7 in the electronic supplement). The latter, in turn, had higher concentrations of Mo, Ni and V compared to the other Technosols (Figure 9, Table S7). In all the cases, the concentrations of total Cu and Ni were below the limits established (100 and 60 mg·kg⁻¹, respectively) by international regulations on soils polluted by heavy metals (EPA, 2012; He et al., 2015). Additionally, the high pH values of both materials and the resulting Technosols led to higher metal solubility (Morel et al., 2005; Séré et al., 2008). However, potential co-transport of these elements with soluble organic matter should be considered in further research. On this regard, El Khalil et al. (2008, 2016) reported that the progressive alteration of coarse technic materials contributes to the enrichment of the soil solution by metallic pollutants. However, this alteration requires a long period of time, depending on several factors such as the nature of these materials, as well as the soil physicochemical and biological properties.

**Functioning of Technosols as plant growth media**

Soil fertility depends on the interaction of the chemical, physical and biological properties, which determine the ability to provide nutrients and water, as well as an adequate physical medium for the development of the roots. The optimal conditions for plant growth are not unique; it depends on the demand of each species, fertility, and external conditions such as the availability of water for irrigation or rain. Of the chemical variables, pH is considered the most important, since it influences the availability of nutrients, biological processes, and microbial activity. In the majority of cultivated species, values between 5.5 and 7.5 are proposed to favor the availability of nutrients for the plants. In the case of physical variables, aeration and water availability are the most important. The results of this research show...
that the evaluated Technosols, have both, the physical and chemical variables adequate to the plant growth.

After 90 days of experiment, it was observed that the excavation-based Technosols (E₅₅, E₄₅, and E₃₅) led to a significant increase in plant growth and biomass production, which were 28 and 100 % higher, respectively, compared to what was observed for Technosols based on demolition waste (D₅₅, D₄₅, and D₃₅) (p <0.05), which, in turn, presented the lowest values of both parameters (Figure 10, Table S8 in the electronic supplement). Moreover, the rates of compost applied to Technosols did not have a significant effect on both height and above-ground biomass production (p >0.05) (Figure 10, Table S9).

The GLM analysis showed a significant effect of EC and total N content (P <0.01, adjusted $R^2 = 0.89$) on the final height of tomato plants. Similarly, the pH and total N content had a high and significant effect...
and excavation residues. In other words, the variables described above regarding their primary materials; demolition debris; waste concrete are distributed along PC1 in three separated groups that differed plant height and biomass (Figure 11, Table S10). The Technosols compost. BD: Bulk Density; Cl: Soluble Cl-; C N: C-to-N ratio; DOC: Dissolved Density; Plant biomass: Plant biomass; Plant height: Plant height: Porosity: Soil Organic carbon; Fe: Soluble Fe; K: Soluble K; Mg: Soluble Mg; Mn: Soluble Mn; Mo: Soluble Mo; Na: Soluble Na; Ni: Soluble Ni; NO3: Nitrate-N; PD: Particle Density; Plant biomass: Plant biomass; Plant height: Plant height: Porosity: Soil Porosity; SO4: Soluble SO4; Soil EC: soil electrical conductivity; Soil pH; Soil pH; TN: Total Nitrogen; V: Soluble V.

Due to the lack of natural soils within cities and given that soil cannot be considered to be a readily renewable resource that can be excavated and easily transported from rural to urban areas (Walsh et al., 2019), this result highlights the purpose of making Technosols as plant-growth substrates, especially in the case of Technosols from construction debris and excavation wastes. The fact that this soil-like material, which is extracted within urban areas, offers adequate conditions for plant growth and biomass production, brings an encouraging perspective regarding the end-uses of the Technosols produced.

Characteristics of Technosols with respect to the materials used in their construction

A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was made in order to explore the interactions between physical, chemical and physicochemical variables of Technosols related to the materials used in their construction. The analysis explained 86% of the variance in data (Figure 11, Table S10 in the electronic supplement).

For the first component, high coefficients were given to soil pH and EC, soil particle density, concentrations of soluble Fe, Mn, Ni, V and Mo, concentrations of soluble Mg$^{2+}$ and Na$^+$, soil C/N ratio, plant height and biomass (Figure 11, Table S10). The Technosols are distributed along PC1 in three separated groups that differed regarding their primary materials; demolition debris; waste concrete and excavation residues. In other words, the variables described above are the most important for the formation of these three groups and, thus, they relate to the effects of the type of material used to construct each Technosol on physical, chemical and physicochemical attributes. Moreover, the points that relate to the Technosols from concrete waste and excavation residues are presented in a closer position when compared to the Technosols from demolition debris, indicating that the two first Technosols may share some similar characteristics compared to the third one.

As for the second principal component, high coefficients were given to bulk density and porosity, concentrations of total N and DOC, and concentrations of soluble K, NO3, and Cl. The Technosols are distributed along PC2 into three separate groups as a function of application rates of compost, regardless the type of material used to construct the Technosols (Figure 11, Table S10). Thus, the variables with significant correlations with PC2 described above are the most important for the formation of these three groups and they relate to the effects of compost application rates used to construct the Technosols on physical, chemical and physicochemical attributes.

In brief, a higher number of variables were related to the type of parent material (15 variables in PC1) compared to the doses of compost regardless of the parent material (seven variables in PC2), highlighting the effects of the former on changes in soil properties (Table S10). Moreover, soil pH appeared to be the main variable to distinguish the Technosols according to the type of parent material, while total N was more important in separating Technosols according to the application rates of compost (Table S10).

CONCLUSIONS

The constructed Technosols were suitable, to a greater or lesser extent, for tomato plant growth. The demolition waste turned out to be less suitable for the construction of custom-made Technosols in terms of plant growth and support: its high EC and pH values indicated high salinity and low availability of nutrients. Moreover, the small-pore size of this waste indicated higher compactability.

The main factors defining the suitability of the waste materials used in the construction of Technosols were soil pH and EC values. Both parameters changed over time due to the washing of salts. All the evaluated Technosols were adequate for the growth of tomato plants, however, the lowest growths were observed in demolition-based Technosols, due to the higher pH and EC values observed in these soils. The water holding capacity and soil aeration were adequate for plant growth; they were the result of the particle size of the mineral materials used in the construction of the Technosols, as well as the application rates of compost.

The application rates of compost defined the nutrient contents available for plant uptake and favor the macroporosity, besides increasing the aeration capacity to Technosols. Meanwhile, the type of parental materials defined the majority of the characteristics of Technosols as well as their ability to function as a plant support and growth medium.

The concentrations of readily available heavy and trace metals were not a limitation in the construction of Technosols for plant growth. However, potential co-transport of these elements with soluble organic matter should be considered in further research.
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